

QUANTUM MECHANICS B (PHY-5646)

HOMEWORK 13

(January 17, 2017)

Due on Tuesday, January 24, 2017

PROBLEM 37

Consider the fundamental commutation relations for a generalized angular momentum operators $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$. That is,

$$[\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i\hbar\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{J}_k.$$

We have shown in class that by virtue of the commutation relations and nothing else, \hat{J}^2 and \hat{J}_z share the following common basis:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}^2|jm\rangle &= j(j+1)\hbar^2|jm\rangle, \\ \hat{J}_z|jm\rangle &= m\hbar|jm\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $j=0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, \dots$ and $m=-j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j$.

- (a) Show that the action of the raising and lowering operators on an arbitrary state $|jm\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_+|jm\rangle &= \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\hbar|j, m+1\rangle, \\ \hat{J}_-|jm\rangle &= \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}\hbar|j, m-1\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) By using the relations obtained in part (a) for the case of $j=3/2$, construct the three 4×4 matrices for \hat{J}_x , \hat{J}_y , and \hat{J}_z .
- (c) Show explicitly that the 4×4 matrices obtained in part (b) satisfy—as they should—the fundamental commutation relations.

PROBLEM 38

The smallest set of matrices that satisfy the fundamental commutation relations are the three 2×2 Pauli matrices that are defined as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Show that the Pauli matrices indeed satisfy

$$\left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}_i}{2}, \frac{\hat{\sigma}_j}{2} \right] = i\varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_k}{2}.$$

- (b) Although **not** a general property of the angular momentum operators, show that the Pauli matrices also satisfy the following *anti*-commutation relations:

$$\{\hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\sigma}_j\} \equiv (\hat{\sigma}_i\hat{\sigma}_j + \hat{\sigma}_j\hat{\sigma}_i) = 2\delta_{ij}.$$

- (c) Use the results obtained in parts (a) and (b) to show that for two arbitrary vectors \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} the following identity holds true:

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \mathbf{A})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + i\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

- (d) Use the results of part (c) to show that a finite rotation by an angle θ around an arbitrary unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ of the form

$$\hat{U}[R(\theta\hat{\mathbf{n}})] \equiv \exp\left(-i\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}\right).$$

can be evaluated *exactly* to be

$$\hat{U}[R(\theta\hat{\mathbf{n}})] = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - i\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}).$$

In particular, conclude that the rotation matrix is not equal to the identity matrix after a $\theta = 2\pi$ rotation. What is the minimum rotation angle (other than zero!) that is required for the rotation matrix to return to the identity?

PROBLEM 39

The next set of matrices that satisfy the fundamental commutation relations are the following three 3×3 matrices (for simplicity we set $\hbar \equiv 1$):

$$\hat{L}_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Show that the three 3×3 matrices indeed satisfy the fundamental commutation relations

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{L}_k.$$

- (b) Show that all three matrices satisfy $\hat{L}_i^3 = \hat{L}_i$ or equivalently $\hat{L}_i^3 - \hat{L}_i = \hat{L}_i(\hat{L}_i^2 - 1) = 0$. Yet, neither $\hat{L}_i = 0$ nor $\hat{L}_i^2 = 1$; why?

- (c) Use the result obtained in part (b) to show that a finite rotation by an angle θ around the x -axis can be evaluated exactly in terms of the 3×3 identity matrix $\mathbf{1}$, \hat{L}_x , and \hat{L}_x^2 . That is, obtain the form of the functions $A_1(\theta)$ and $A_2(\theta)$ such that

$$\hat{U}[R(\theta\hat{\mathbf{x}})] \equiv \exp\left(-i\theta\hat{L}_x\right) = \mathbf{1} + A_1(\theta)\hat{L}_x + A_2(\theta)\hat{L}_x^2.$$